

Reclassification of P	Reclassification of Part of Lot 9 DP 703167, Production Avenue, Warragamba				
Proposal Title :	Reclassification of Part of Lot §	DP 703167, Production Aver	nue, Warragamba		
Proposal Summary :	Proposal Summary : Reclassification of Part of Lot 9 DP 703167, No. 9 Production Avenue, Warragamba from 'Community' to 'Operational' Land.				
PP Number :	PP_2012_WOLLY_003_00 Dop File No : 12/12849				
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	06-Aug-2012	LGA covered :	Wollondilly		
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	Wollondilly Shire Council		
State Electorate :	WOLLONDILLY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Reclassification				
Location Details					
Street : Pro	oduction Avenue				
Suburb : Wa	arragamba City :		Postcode : 2752		
Land Parcel : Pa	rt of Lot 9 DP 703167				
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Tessa Parmeter				
Contact Number :	0298601528				
Contact Email :	tessa.parmeter@planning.nsw.g	ov.au			
RPA Contact Deta	ils				
Contact Name :	Sophie Perry				
Contact Number :	0246771151				
Contact Email :	Sophie.Perry@wollondilly.nsw.g	ov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :					
Land Release Dat	a				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes		

Reclassification of Part of Lot 9 DP 703167, Production Avenue, Warragamba

MDP Number :	0	Date of Release :			
Area of Release (Ha)	0.00	Type of Release (eg			
		Residential / Employment land) :			
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings 0 (where relevant) :			
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created : 0			
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes				
If No, comment :	•	of the Regional Team's knowledge, t t of Planning's Code of Practice in re rith lobbyists.			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No				
If Yes, comment :	To the best knowledge of the Syd communications with registered	Iney West Region team there have b lobbyists.	een no meetings or		
Supporting notes					
Internal Supporting Notes :		eclassify part of Lot 9 DP 703167 (9 enue, Warragamba from 'community			
	The planning proposal indicates that the reclassification of the land does not require any trusts, interests or covenants to be discharged or extinguished.				
	Lot 9 DP 703167 is to enable an e	g team that the reclassification of th xtended lease of the land for a teleo year lease period allowed under the mmunity' (see attachments).	ommunication		
		•	•		
	Additional information is require publically exhibited.	from the RPA prior to the planning	proposal being		
External Supporting Notes :	•	f the Regional Team's knowledge, t of Planning's Code of Practice in re ith lobbyists.			
		SURE STATEMENT s commenced on 1 October 2008. Th s or gifts for certain circumstances			
	-	der the new legislation are triggerec d relevant public submissions on s			
	Planning Circular PS 08-009 spec Minister or Director General is re-	ifies that a person who makes a pul			

Reclassification of Part of Lot 9 DP 703167, Production Avenue, Warragamba

The Department has not received any disclosure statements for this Planning Proposal.

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

A statement of the objectives was provided. The intended outcome is to reclassify part of Lot 9 DP 703167 (9 Production Avenue, Warragamba)(approxiamtely 98.4m2) from community to operational land.

Council has clearly indicated that there are no interests are to be extinguished with the reclassification.

The associated maps provided with the planning proposal provided multiple land parcels and areas of land which could apply to the planning proposal. The associated maps should clarify what land is intended to be reclassified.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : An explanation of provisions was provided. It is intended to include the address and property description in Schedule 4 Part 1 of the Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011, to facilitate the reclassification.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?	SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land)
	SEPP No 53Metropolitan Residential Development
	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
	Drinking Water Catchments Regional Environmental Plan No. 1
	SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury-Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)

e) List any other Practice Note PN 09-003 Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain : SEPP55	; -	Remediation	of	Land	•
-------------------------	-----	-------------	----	------	---

The site has been identified as contaminated land and the planning proposal identifies that remediation is currently being undertaken on the site. The lot is zoned IN2 Light industrial and the reclassification will not change the zoning of the site. The RPA should consult with Office of Environment and Heritage during the public exhibition period to ensure that the reclassification will not impact on the remediation process.

Drinking Water Catchments Regional Environmental Plan No. 1 (SEPP (Sydney Drinking Water Catchments) 2011)-The planning proposal is generally consistent with is SEPP. Section 117 Direction 1.1: Business and Industrial zones -The RPA has not addressed this direction. As the site is in the zone IN2 Light industrial, this direction is required to be considered. The planning proposal is consistent with this direction as the reclassification does not reduce the floor space for industrial development and retains the total area of land zoned industrial. Council should provide an assessment of this planning proposal against this Direction. Section 117 Direction 3.1: Residential zones -This direction is not applicable as the land is zoned IN2 Light Industrial and residential accommodation is not permissible in the zone. Section 117 Direction 4.4: Planning for Bushfire protection -The RPA has identified the lot as bushfire prone land. As part of the Gateway conditions, the RPA is required to consult with the Rural Fire Service (RFS) prior to publically exhibiting the planning proposal to ensure that the RFS has no objection to the planning proposal proceeding. Section 117 Direction 5.2: Sydney Drinking Water Catchments -The RPA has not identified this section 117 Direction in its assessment. It appears that the land intended to be reclassified is located within the Sydney Drinking Water Catchment Map Sheet 013. The planning proposal is consistent with the direction as it does not permit any new development that will impact on the water quality within the drinking water catchment. In light of the above, the RPA is required to amend the planning proposal to ensure that the section 117 Directions have been adequately and correctly addressed as required under Section 55(2)(c) of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : A map has been included to identify the lot however the map is unclear and does not easily identify what area of land is intended to be reclassified. A survey map (Map 2) in the document appears to identifies a much smaller area to be reclassified (it appears to be 98.4 metres of the larger 14.3 hectare lot). This is different to Site Plan in the Council report which shows a dotted line with a larger area as "general community use" A condition should be included in the Gateway determination to include the following maps: - a clear map identifying what land within the lot is intended to be reclassified from community to operational. - A copy of the existing land zoning map sheet where the lot is situated (land zoning map sheet LZN_006A). - A further map to indicate where the nearby planning proposals are located in the vicinity of this planning proposal (a broader locality map for context). Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Reclassification of Part of Lot 9 DP 703167, Production Avenue, Warragamba

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

As the planning proposal is intended to reclassify land from community to operational land, a 28 day exhibition period has been proposed with a Public Hearing to occur 21 days following the conclusion of the public exhibition period in accordance with clause 29 of the Local Government Act 1993 and Local Government Regulations.

dditional Director	· General's requirements	
Are there any addition	al Director General's requirements? Yes	
If Yes, reasons :	The Department's 'Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans' includes 4 Director General's requirements required to be considered with any reclassification of land. While the planning proposal includes this information, the 4 questions and the corresponding information should be included in Part 3 Section A, rather than spreadou throughout the proposal.	
	Further information is also required to clarify if there are any existing interests, trusts or covenants on the site and if any of these interests are being extinguished as a result of the reclassification. The planning proposal indicates that no interests will be extinguished; however it does not indicate if there are any existing interests that could potentially be extinguished.	
Overall adequacy o	of the proposal	
Does the proposal me	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	There is adequate information to assess the planning proposal.	
oposal Assessmen	t	
Principal LEP:		
Due Date : February 2	011	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Wollondilly LEP 2011 was notified on 23 February 2011.	
	Since the plan was notified, there are currently 13 active planning proposals. It should be also noted that 2 additional planning proposals have been submitted by the RPA in the last week.	
Assessment Criteri	a	
Need for planning proposal :	The RPA has identified in its assessment that this planning proposal is required as Council Property Administration officer advised that it is essential to the terms of the lease. Further information was requested by the planning team from the RPA to confirm the reason why the reclassification was necessary.	
	The RPA advised that there is currently a lease on 73.4m2 parcel of land on the site for an existing telecommunication facility which expires in 2016. The RPA is seeking to extend the lease for a further 20 years and extend the area (to which the lease applies) a further 25 metres. The total area would be 98.44m2.	
	In accordance with clause 47(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1993, a Council can not lease community land for more then 21 years without the approval of the Minister or if the land is reclassified to operational. Therefore, the RPA requires the reclassification of this 98.4m2 piece of land to enable the further lease agreement with the telecommunication company.	
	This reason was not made clear in the planning proposal. A clear explanation and justification needs to be provided should the planning proposal proceed.	
	Further to this, in the Department's "A Guide to Preparing a Local Environmental Plans", additional Director-General's requirements (4 questions) are identified that must be addressed in any planning proposal that includes the reclassification of land (Figure 4, Page 11). While the planning proposal includes this information, the 4 questions and the information should be included in Part 3 Section A.	
	It should be noted that, in the same report to Council, it has been noted that the remainder	

It should be noted that, in the same report to Council, it has been noted that the remainder

		-	i is not vegetated may be recla vorks are complete.	ssified to operational at a later	
	intended to be	reclassified it	ntified in the site plan on page may be more beneficial to rec over a series of planning prop	lassify the land in a single	
	to reclassify pa	art of a lot. It w		whether it was legally possible legally possible to reclassify d has been reclassified.	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	It is noted in the background section of the report to Council that the reclassification is consistent with the Plan of Management (Plan of Management for the Former Warragamba Waste Management Centre and Bushland Reserve). This is not addressed any further in the report.				
	The reclassific	ation of this lo	t is not addressed in any of th	e RPA's Strategic documents.	
Environmental social	ENVIRONMEN	AL			
economic impacts :	The land subject to this planning proposal for reclassification has not been identified as having a critical habitat, threatened species or ecological communities. The lot has not been identified as flood prone.				
	The land is contaminated and currently has a remediation plan in place, with remediation works currently being undertaken.				
	The land has been identified as bushfire prone. The Rural Fire Service (RFS) is required to be consulted prior to the planning proposal going on public exhibition to determine if there is any issue with the planning proposal proceeding. ECONOMIC Council's report indicates that the reclassification of part of the lot to operational will impact financially on Council's budget as the current lease arrangement is a source of revenue. Council is satisfied that this is a minor financial impact. SOCIAL The lease of the land for a telecommunication tower will result in improved phone service in the area.				
ssessment Proces					5
roposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
imeframe to make EP :	6 Month		Delegation :	DG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environment and Heritage NSW Rural Fire Service				
Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
no, provide reasons :	planning propo	sal being publ	owever additional information ic exhibited. In particular, more e confirming any existing inter	e information should be	

.

classification of Part	of Lot 9 DP 703167, Production Avenue, Warragamba				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No				
If Yes, reasons :	There is adequate information to assess the planning proposal. Additional information and assessment should be submitted prior to public exhibition with regards to any interests on the land, the assessment of section 117 directions and clearer and legible maps.				
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :				
No internal consultation) required				
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No				
If Yes, reasons :	No provision or funding of State infrastructure is relevant to this plan.				
ocuments					
Document File Name	DocumentType Name Is Public				
anning Team Recomr	nendation				
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions				
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones				
	3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates				
	3.3 Home Occupations				
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport				
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection				
	5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments				
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements				
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes				
Additional Information :	1) The Planning Proposal is to be completed in 6 months.				
	2) The Rural Fire Service (RFS) be consulted prior to the planning proposal going on public exhibition. as requried by Section 117 Direction 4.4 - Planning for Bushfire Protection.				
	3) The exhibition material should include the following maps:				
	- A clear map indicating what land is intended to be reclassified from community to				
	operational.				
	 A land zoning map indicating the locating of the planning proposal. A clear map which identifies the adjacent active planning proposals. 				
	4) The planning proposal should be publically exhibited for 28 days.				
	5) The exhibition material should be consistent with the requirements identified in Practice Note PN 09-003: Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan.				
	6) A public hearing is to be held in accordance with the relevant legislation.				
	7) The RPA should complete further assessment of the Planning Proposal for consistency with Section 117 Direction 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones and 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments.				

.

Reclassification of Part of Lot 9 DP 703167, Production Avenue, Warragamba				
	8) The RPA should amend Part 3 Section A of the planning proposal to provide clear justification explaining the need for the planning proposal and to include the 4 Director General's requirements outlined in Section 4.10 (Figure 4 on page 11) of the Department's Guide to preparing a Local Environmental Plan in Part 3 Section A.			
Supporting Reasons :	1) It is an appropriate time frame for a reclassification planning proposal.			
	2) The RPA has identified the site as bushfire prone. Consultation could resolve any potential inconsistency with Section 117 Direction 4.4 - Planning for Bushfire Protection.			
	3) The additional maps are required for public exhibition as: - The surveyors map does not clearly identifying the land to be reclassified in context with the remainder of the lot. - The planning proposal does not currently include a land zoning map.			
	 The map provided in the introduction does not clearly identify the adjacent planning proposals. 			
	4) This timeframe for public exhibition is consistent with Section 4.5 of the Department's 'A Guide to preparing Local Environmental Plans'.			
	5) To be consistent with Departmental advice provided in Practice Note PN 09-003.			
	6) A public hearing is required under the Local Government Act 1993.			
	7) These Section 117 Directions are applicable to the planning proposal and require further consideration by the RPA prior to the planning proposal being publically exhibited.			
	8) The justification provided in the planning proposal report requires clarification of the need to undertake the planning proposal to reclassify the subject land and the requirements of lease agreements for community land in the Local Government Act 1993. Also, the amendment to Part 3 Section A of the planning proposal to include the 4 Director General's requirements will ensure consistency with the Department's 'Guide to preparing a Local Environmental Plan'.			
Signature:	M M			
Printed Name:	STEPHEN GARDINER Date: 10/08/2017			